

Numerically efficient version of the T-matrix method.

A.G. Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA

ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

A version of the projection method for solving the scattering problem for acoustic and electromagnetic waves is proposed and shown to be more efficient numerically than the earlier ones.

1 Introduction

Consider the scattering problem:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) u = 0 \text{ in } D' := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } S := \partial D, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u = e^{ik\alpha \cdot x} + v, \quad (1.3)$$

where D is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a piecewise smooth boundary S , and the scattered field v has the following asymptotics:

$$v = A(\alpha', \alpha, k) \frac{e^{ir}}{\sqrt{r}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\right), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow \infty, \frac{x}{r} = \alpha' \in S^1, \quad (1.4)$$

and S^1 is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The coefficient A is called the scattering amplitude, $k = \text{const} > 0$ is fixed, and $u := u(x, \alpha, k)$ is called the scattering solution.

Let $g(x, y) := \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(k|x - y|)$. Take $k = 1$ in what follows without loss of generality. Then

$$(\nabla^2 + 1) g = -\delta(x - y) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, g = c \frac{e^{i|y|}}{\sqrt{|y|}} e^{-iy^0 \cdot x} + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}}\right) \text{ as } |y| \rightarrow \infty, y^0 := \frac{y}{|y|}, \quad (1.5)$$

$c = \text{const} = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}$. One has, using Green's formula:

$$u(x, \alpha) = e^{i\alpha \cdot x} - \int_S g(x, s) u_N(s', \alpha) ds', \quad x \in D', \quad k = 1, \quad (1.6)$$

where u_N is the normal derivative of u on S , N is the exterior unit normal to S .

Taking $x \in D'$ to S and using (1.2), one gets

$$Th := f, \quad h := u_N, \quad f := e^{i\alpha \cdot s}, \quad k = 1, \quad (1.7)$$

$$Th := \int_S g(s, s') h(s') ds'. \quad (1.8)$$

Equation (1.7) is the basic equation studied in this paper.

The T-matrix method is described in [1], [2] and analyzed in [1] mathematically.

The purpose of this paper is to give a version of this method for solving equation (1.7), and to analyze this version from the computational points of view. This is done in sections 2 and 3.

Let $H^\ell = H^\ell(S)$ be the Sobolev spaces, $\ell = 0, 1$, and

$$Qh := \int_S \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{a}{r_{ss'}} h(s') ds', \quad (1.9)$$

where $a = \text{const} = \text{diam } D > 0$, so that $\inf_{s, s' \in S} \frac{a}{r_{ss'}} \geq 1$.

Write (1.7) as

$$Qh + Kh = f, \quad f \in H^1, \quad Kh := -\frac{\ln a}{2\pi} \int_S h(s') ds' + \int_S \left[g(s, s') - \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{r_{ss'}} \right] h dy \quad (1.10)$$

The operator $A = Q^{-1}K$ is compact in H^1 , and the operator Q is an isomorphism between H^0 and H^1 (see Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 below). Moreover, Q is a selfadjoint compact positive operator, in $H^0 : (Qu, u) > 0$ if $u \neq 0$. In Remark 2.1 below we show a possible usage of (1.10). Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$ be a Riesz basis of H^0 , that is, every element $u \in H^0$ is uniquely representable as a convergent in H^0 series

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j, \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 \leq \|u\|_0^2 \leq M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2, \quad 0 < m \leq M, \quad m, M = \text{const} > 0. \quad (1.12)$$

Let us prove the following Lemma 1.1:

Lemma 1.1. *If k^2 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian in D then the operator T defined by (1.8) is an isomorphism of H^0 onto H^1 .*

Proof. This result is established in [1] so we only indicate the basic points of the proof. The assumption of Lemma 2.1 implies the injectivity of T : if $Th = 0$ then the function $w(x) := \int_S g(x, s')h(s')ds'$ solves the Dirichlet problem for the Helmholtz operator in D and in D' , and satisfies the radiation condition at infinity. Thus $w = 0$ in D by the assumption of Lemma 2.1, and $w = 0$ in D' by lemma 1 in [1], p.25. Therefore $h = u_N^+ - u_N^- = u$, where we have used the jump relation for the normal derivative of the single-layer potentials. The operator $T : H^0 \rightarrow H^1$ is of Fredholm-type: it can be written as $T = Q + K$ where $Q : H^0 \rightarrow H^1$ is an isomorphism and K is compact as an operator from H^0 into H^1 . The injectivity of T together with its Fredholm property imply the conclusion of Lemma 1.1. $\square$

We assume throughout this paper that $k^2 = 1$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian in D .

In the literature [2] one usually means by the T-matrix approach (in acoustic and electromagnetic wave scattering theory) a projection method for solving equations of the type (1.7) with the following choices of the basis functions: $\varphi_m = e^{im\theta}H_m(kr(\theta))$ or $\varphi_m = e^{im\theta}J_m(kr(\theta))$.

These choices lead to the following difficulties discussed in [1]: as the number J of these functions grows: $J \rightarrow \infty$, the condition number of matrix a_{ij} in (2.2) (see below) grows exponentially and depends strongly on the geometry of S . *In contrast, in our version of the method, the condition number of a_{ij} remains bounded as $J \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 1.2. *The operator $Q^{-1}K$ is compact in H^1 .*

Proof. The kernel of the operator K , defined by (1.10), and its first derivatives are continuous functions of s and s' running through bounded sets, including the diagonal $s = s'$. By Lemma 1.1 the action of Q^{-1} is equivalent (up to the terms preserving smoothness) to taking the first order derivatives. Therefore the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 follows. $\square$

Remark 1.1. *Let us outline a possible way to use the splitting in equation (1.10). The idea is simple: $Q \geq c > 0$ is positive definite. Write (1.10) as*

$$h + Ah = F, \quad F : Q^{-1}f. \quad (1.13)$$

Inverting Q numerically is a relatively easy problem since Q is positive definite. Using an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_j\}$ of H^0 , one can write the projection method for (1.13), namely:

$$h_J = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j \varphi_j,$$

$$h_i + \sum_{j=1}^J A_{ij} h_j = F_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq J, \quad (1.14)$$

where $A_{ij} := (A\varphi_j, \varphi_i)$.

The matrix in (1.14) is $B_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}$. If $\|A\|_0 < 1$, then system (1.4) can be solved by iterations numerically efficiently. This happens if $ka \ll 1$, where $a = \text{diam } D$, but it may happen when the above condition does not hold.

2 Solution of the basic equation

Let us look for an approximate solution to (1.7)

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j \varphi_j, \quad (2.1)$$

where φ_j is a Riesz basis of H^0 , and

$$\sum_{j=1}^J a_{ij} c_j = f_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq J, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$f_i := (f, T\varphi_i), \quad a_{ij} := (T\varphi_j, T\varphi_i)_0, \quad (2.3)$$

and T is defined in (1.8). Since T is injective, the elements $\{T\varphi_j\}$ are linearly independent. Therefore

$$\det(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq J} \neq 0 \quad \forall J = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.1. *A system $\{\psi_j\}$ is a Riesz basis of a Hilbert space H if there is an isomorphism B of H onto H such that $B\psi_j = e_j$, where $\{e_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H .*

One gets system (2.2) by solving the following minimization problem:

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J c_j T\varphi_j - f \right\|_1 = \min. \quad (2.5)$$

Denote by $\{c_j^{(J)}\}_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ the unique solution to (2.2) or, equivalently, to (2.5).

Lemma 2.1. *$\{T\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ is a Riesz basis of H^1 if the system $\{\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ is a Riesz basis of H^0 .*

Proof. Let $f \in H^1$ be an arbitrary element of H^1 . Denote $T^{-1}f := h \in H^0$.

Since $\{\varphi_j\}$ is a basis of H^0 , one has

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j, \quad f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j T\varphi_j.$$

If $\sum c_j T\varphi_j = 0$, then, applying the continuous operator T^{-1} , one gets $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j = 0$, so $c_j = 0$ for all j since $\{\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ is a basis of H^0 . We have proved that $\{T\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ is a basis of H^1 .

Let us prove that if $\{\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ is a Riesz basis of H^0 then $\{T\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ is a Riesz basis of H^1 , that is, there exists an isomorphism B of H^1 onto H^1 such that $BT\varphi_j = e_j$,

$$(e_j, e_i)_1 = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Let $\{e_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H^1 . Define a linear operator $F : H^1 \rightarrow H^1$ by the formula:

$$F \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e_j := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j T\varphi_j,$$

in particular, $Fe_j = T\varphi_j$. Let us prove that F is an isomorphism of H^1 onto H^1 . If this is proved, then $B := F^{-1}$, and Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Clearly F is linear, is defined on all of H^1 , and is continuous. Only the continuity of F needs a proof.

Let $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H^1 . Then $u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^{(n)} e_j$, $u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e_j$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j^{(n)} - c_j|^2 \rightarrow 0$, $Fu_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^{(n)} T\varphi_j$, $Fu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j T\varphi_j$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Fu_n - Fu\|_1^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (c_j^{(n)} - c_j) T\varphi_j \right\|_1^2 = \\ &= \left\| T \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (c_j^{(n)} - c_j) \varphi_j \right\|_1^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (c_j^{(n)} - c_j) \varphi_j \right\|_0^2 \leq \\ &= \|T\|^2 M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j^{(n)} - c_j|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption that $\{\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ a Riesz basis of H^0 .

Thus F is a linear continuous, defined on all of H^1 operator.

Therefore F is bounded.

Let us check that F is injective: if $u \in H^1$, $u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e_j$, and $Fu = 0$ then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j T\varphi_j = 0$. Apply T^{-1} and get $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j = 0$. Thus, $c_j = 0 \forall j$, since $\{\varphi_j\}$ is a basis. The injectivity of F is proved.

To complete the proof one has to check that the range of F is the whole space H^1 . Let us do this. Take an arbitrary $f \in H^1$ and define $h := T^{-1}f \in H^0$. Let $h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j$, then

$$Th = f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j T\varphi_j = F \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e_j.$$

Therefore F is an isomorphism of H^1 onto H^1 , and $\{T\varphi_j\}$ is a Riesz basis of H^1 , as claimed. Lemma 2.1 is proved. $\square$

Let us summarize the proposed method for solving the basic equation (1.7):

Step 1. Choose a Riesz basis $\{\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j < \infty}$ in $H^0 = L^2(S)$.

We discuss this choice below.

Step 2. Calculate the matrix entries a_{ij} and the numbers f_i , $1 \leq i, j \leq J$, where J is an a priori chosen integer.

Step 3. Solve linear system (2.2) numerically.

The matrix in (2.2) has condition number that remains bounded when J grows, as follows from Lemma 2.1.

Let us discuss the choice of the basis $\{\varphi_j\}$.

Assume that $r = r(\theta)$ is the equation of S in the two-dimensional case that is, S is star-shaped. The element of the arc length of S is $ds = \sqrt{r'^2(\theta) + r^2(\theta)}d\theta := a(\theta)d\theta$. Let S^1 denote the unit sphere $S^1 := \{x : x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x| = 1\}$. Choose

$$\varphi_{co}(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a(\theta)}}, \quad \varphi_{cm}(s) := \frac{\cos(m\theta)}{\sqrt{\pi a(\theta)}}, \quad \varphi_{sm}(s) = \frac{\sin(m\theta)}{\sqrt{\pi a(\theta)}}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.6)$$

where $s = (r(\theta), \theta)$.

Then

$$\int_S \varphi_{cm}(s) \varphi_{sm'}(s) ds = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(m\theta) \sin(m'\theta) d\theta = 0,$$

and

$$\int_S \varphi_{cm} \varphi_{cm'} ds = \delta_{mm'}, \quad \int_S \varphi_{sm} \varphi_{sm'} ds = \delta_{mm'},$$

so that $\{\varphi_m(s)\}$ is not only a Riesz basis of $H^0 = L^2(S)$, but an orthonormal basis of H^0 .

Similar construction holds in $\mathbb{R}^3$, where the normalized spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}(\alpha)$ are used in place of $\cos(m\theta)$ and $\sin(m\theta)$, $\alpha = (\theta, \varphi)$ is the unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\alpha \in S^2$, S^2 is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

References

- [1] Ramm, A.G., Scattering by Obstacles, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [2] Varadan, V.K., Varadan, V.V., (editors), Acoustic, Electromagnetic and Elastic Wave Scattering- Focus on the T-matrix approach, Pergamon Press, New York, 1980.